

Heber Tippelts Blerly

From: Paul Amann
To: Tippelts, Heber
Date: 8/9/01 5:46PM
Subject: Blerly

I left you a voice message on your office phone on this matter today (the cell phone number I have for you is from a May list and has been disconnected) and assume that you have not been available to call me back. We were in Court today for a hearing on the above-referenced matter. Wayne Searle, counsel for Lisa Blerly, made a motion to recuse Judge Johansson based on an assertion that you were in a meeting, which he recorded, wherein you stated that the judge had had an ex parte meeting with Liz Knight and me and he had already determined what action he is going to take with respect to this case--either that, or, you were actually in the meeting with Judge Johansson, Liz Knight and myself (it is more than a little difficult to track Mr. Searle's statements.)

As indicated in the phone message that I left you, I must assume that this is some misconstruction by Mr. Searle. My concern is that he may attempt to contact you to obtain an affidavit. I have advised him that he is not allowed to communicate with my clients directly pursuant to Rule 4.2 of the Supreme Court Rules of Professional Practice. If he attempts to communicate with you, please re-direct him to me. He may contact me at 366-0249.

Also, please contact me at your earliest convenience to advise me of your position with respect to this matter, so that I may clarify that with the Court exactly what happened at our next hearing. Judge Behrens will hear the motion to recuse and you may be subpoenaed for that.

I look forward to hearing from you soon.

Paul G. Amann
(Pager - 241-2063)

cc: Lund, Julie

Wayne Searle was not at this meeting at all - in fact it was just Peggy van Wagner, Heber Tippelts and myself. at a ~~meeting~~ chance meeting and was not recorded.

*8/9/01
Paul warned
Heber Tippelts
Wayne wanted
an affidavit
from him
regarding
what he said
at the meeting*