

# Sen. Curt Bramble: Mother Teresa or Jack Kevorkian?

## The "Pro-Life" Bramble

## The Pro-Death Bramble

<p>Sen. Bramble cosponsors Rep. Morgan Philpot's bill (HB 123) to outlaw taxpayer funding of abortion on demand in the 2003 session.</p> <p>Source: <a href="http://www.le.state.ut.us/~2003/bills/hbillint/hb0123s6.htm">http://www.le.state.ut.us/~2003/bills/hbillint/hb0123s6.htm</a></p>	<p>On the last night of the session, Sen. Bramble brags that he is the senate cosponsor and promises concerned citizens that HB 123 would be voted on that day. He then refuses to take any meaningful action to ensure that HB 123 received a vote in the Republican-dominated senate. Bramble later claims that he didn't know whether he was the senate sponsor or not.</p> <p>Source: "How Anti-Infanticide Bills Die in a "Pro-Life" Senate: A Summary of Facts &amp; Eyewitness Accounts," at <a href="http://accountabilityutah.org/TaskForce/LegRules/2003/InfanticideReport.htm">http://accountabilityutah.org/TaskForce/LegRules/2003/InfanticideReport.htm</a></p>
<p>After citizens politically thrash Bramble and the senate for failing to pass HB 123, Bramble begs Rep. Philpot and others to support him in running Philpot's bill in the 2004 session. This new bill, SB 68, passes.</p> <p>Source: <a href="http://www.le.state.ut.us/~2004/bills/sbillenr/sb0068.htm">http://www.le.state.ut.us/~2004/bills/sbillenr/sb0068.htm</a></p>	<p>A Utah Department of Health spokesman admits in a July 16 public hearing that several officials secretly met during the 2004 session to discuss gutting SB 68 through an administrative rule <u>after it passed</u>. It is likely, based upon Bramble's actions, that he participated. Rep. Philpot, however, does not believe that such a rule is necessary.</p> <p>Source: <a href="http://accountabilityutah.org/IssuesAlerts/LegAlerts/2004/Infanticide072904.htm">http://accountabilityutah.org/IssuesAlerts/LegAlerts/2004/Infanticide072904.htm</a> and "U. eyed as root of abortion controversy," Rebecca Walsh, <i>Salt Lake Tribune</i>, Jun. 7, 2004.</p>
<p>In April 2004, Bramble asserts the following view: "What is the definition of what's 'incompatible with life'? How long is it inconsistent with life? A breath? A minute? A month? I have an inherent discomfort with trying to decide which child should live...." Bramble also stated that he was not comfortable defining fatal fetal defects, calling that exercise a "slippery slope."</p> <p>Source: "Utah Hospital Won't Do Abortions on Handicapped Children," Maria Gallagher, <i>LifeNews.com</i>, Apr. 22, 2004.</p>	<p>In May 2004, Bramble publicly asserts that taxpayers should subsidize euthanasia for babies who may have "fatal deformities" or "fetal anomalies". Bramble now supports the proposal that if a doctor believes a baby cannot live past 3 days past birth, that baby could be executed at taxpayer expense.</p> <p>Source: "A woman with fatal fetal deformities who can't afford to pay — the state would be barred from providing any funding" [if the law isn't changed]. — Sen. Curt Bramble, "Abortion bill likely to be on agenda," Josh Loftin &amp; Amy Joi Bryson, <i>Deseret News</i>, May 28, 2004.</p> <p>Source: "...Senator [Bramble] says he is willing to tweak the so-called health exception for mothers and include an exception for fetal 'anomalies'... Sen. Sheryl Allen proposed an amendment on the House floor in February allowing an exception for fetal defects 'generally considered to be lethal within 72 hours'... [Bramble] says he supported Allen's amendment." — "Abortion curbs might be eased," Rebecca Walsh, <i>Salt Lake Tribune</i>, May 27, 2004.</p>
<p>Bramble complains to various citizens and e-mail groups that attacks against his actions are unfair, and that he is staunchly pro-life.</p>	<p>Bramble works in secrecy with UDOH officials in drafting an "emergency" administrative rule to gut SB 68. In a July 16 hearing, <b>Carrie Galloway of Planned Parenthood speaks in favor of the rule</b>. But pro-life citizens expose the rule's blatant attack on the unborn. For instance, UDOH officials cannot identify the "emergency," taxpayers would be forced to fund <u>all</u> types of abortions, and no restriction was placed on late term abortions.</p> <p>Source: <a href="http://accountabilityutah.org/IssuesAlerts/LegAlerts/2004/Infanticide072904.htm">http://accountabilityutah.org/IssuesAlerts/LegAlerts/2004/Infanticide072904.htm</a> and "Abortion ruling is called a Band-Aid," Amy Joi Bryson &amp; Angie Welling, <i>Deseret News</i>, Jun. 11, 2004.</p>
<p>Bramble again complains to various citizens and e-mail groups that attacks against his actions are unfair, and that he is staunchly pro-life.</p>	<p>UDOH retreats and revises their abortion rule. Meanwhile, Bramble asks state attorneys to draft amendments to the law to allow exceptions "for fatal fetal defects, refine exceptions for the mother's health and provide for indigent mothers."</p> <p>Source: <a href="http://accountabilityutah.org/IssuesAlerts/LegAlerts/2004/Infanticide072904.htm">http://accountabilityutah.org/IssuesAlerts/LegAlerts/2004/Infanticide072904.htm</a></p>
<p>Bramble, in support of his proposal to fund abortions with tax dollars, states, "I view myself as staunchly pro-life, but I believe there are reasonable exceptions."</p> <p>Source: "Senator targets abortion cost gap," Lisa Riley Roche, <i>Deseret News</i>, Sep. 9, 2004.</p>	<p>UDOH and Bramble present their revised abortion rule, which would allow taxpayer resources such as equipment, facilities, and employees to be used for all types of abortion on demand. Citizens are again demanding a public hearing to protect taxpayers from being forced to fund euthanasia and murder.</p> <p>Source: <a href="http://accountabilityutah.org/IssuesAlerts/LegAlerts/2004/Infanticide090704.htm">http://accountabilityutah.org/IssuesAlerts/LegAlerts/2004/Infanticide090704.htm</a></p>

**Over 3,200 frivolous abortions are performed in Utah every year. Learn how you can stop taxpayer-funded abortions at [www.accountabilityutah.org](http://www.accountabilityutah.org).**